Impact of carbon prices on investment decisions

IETA-EPRI-IEA 9th Annual Workshop on Greenhouse Gas Emission Trading

Paul Dawson, RWE Supply and Trading

14 September 2009



More Growth, Less CO_2 – Our Strategic Roadmap for 2012

| What we aim to do | | Target for 2012 |
|-------------------|--|---|
| | Defend and expand existing margins in RWE key markets Germany and UK | GER:defend/grow margins on current volumes UK: defend/grow volumes and profitability |
| | Increase level of regional diversification | Share of non-German operating result grows from 27% (2008) to 40 - 50% |
| | Boost proportion of renewables in our generation portfolio | More than tripling of installed base to 4,500 MW |
| | Reduce carbon exposure | Reduction by 20% (compared to 2006 emissions) |
| | Strengthen gas midstream activities | Profitably increase contracted European gas supply purchase volume from 40 to 60 bcm p.a. |
| | Grow equity gas business organically | Doubling of hydrocarbon production by 2012/2013 to 12 bcm p.a. |



Specific Measures to reduce carbon exposure





CO₂ Avoidance Costs Compared to Old Lignite



1) Calculation of costs:

- Allocation of CO2 certificates is not taken into account
- Subsidies for renewables are not considered
- Rough estimation of costs of sequestration
- A comparison with prices of European emission allowances is not possible on basis of this illustration

2) CCGT: Combined-Cycle Gas Turbine.



RWE's Kyoto Credit Portfolio 2008-12



| N ₂ O | 11.96 | |
|-------------------|--------|--|
| Hydro | 15.01 | |
| Other | 1.74 | |
| Geothermal | 0.90 | |
| Biomass | 2.67 | |
| Coal mine methan | e 2.25 | |
| Biogas | 0.79 | |
| Wind | 2.50 | |
| Energy efficiency | 12.46 | |
| HFC23 | 10.68 | |

| Contract volume by region | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| China | 42.62 | | | |
| Ukraine Bulgaria | 0.27 | | | |
| Bulgaria South-East-Asia | 0.40 | | | |
| India | 1.83 | | | |
| Indonesia | 3.30 | | | |
| Egypt | 3.48 | | | |
| South Korea | 7.90 | | | |



Technology Development

- RWE Power plans to develop and build 450 MW coal-fired IGCC plant at Goldenbergwerk site in Huerth near Cologne
 - Includes CO₂ transport and storage to demonstrate the whole CCS-chain
 - Commissioning scheduled for 2014
- RWE Group R&D budget at €1bn 2007 to 2013 more than two thirds are directed to carbon avoidance
- Further development of CO₂ scrubbing for advanced conventional power plant technology:
 - RWE npower plans to design and build the first carbon dioxide capture 1MW pilot plant at a UK coal power station (Aberthaw, South Wales)
 - Possible 25 MW demonstrator plant at Tilbury.
 - Testing and development of new capture processes and solvents with pilot facility at Niederaussem power plant (with BASF and Linde)
 - Similar project at AEP's hard coal-fired Mountaineer plant (1,300 MW) in New Haven, West Virginia (with AEP and Alstom)



Nuclear Strategy: Preservation of Nuclear Competence, Growth in New and Existing Markets, Zero CO₂

Investment criteria

- **Security:** Focus on countries with an existing nuclear framework (legal, infrastructure, waste)
- **Nuclear framework:** Highest standards (plant security, technology, quality), focus on new builds
- Strategic fit: attractive market, fit with RWE's portfolio and strategy
- Financial criteria: the same standard criteria as for other generation new build projects



- National framework for new build until 2015
- National framework for new build between 2016 and 2025



Have the market mechanisms had a noticeable impact on companies investment decisions?

- Yes, absolutely
 - Operations and maintenance
 - Extension and replacement
 - New construction
 - New technology
- Other factors play a role:
 - Capacity balance
 - Subsidies
- The fact that carbon prices are uncertain and are not the sole driver is a fact of life in an uncertain world

So what's the real question?



Is the carbon price sufficient? The dangers of secondguessing

- Supplementary support
 - Why pay twice (eg, once for carbon and again for "low carboness")?
 - Clear justification required
 - Masking residual market signals = risk of picking losers
- Floors
 - Why discard upside?
 - Overprovision
- Caps and smoothing
 - Under-delivery
 - Moral hazard
 - Crowd out commercial responses
 - Markets can deal with price uncertainty but not policy uncertainty

How credible is a policy which admits failure from the outset?



Market design not intervention is the key



Conclusions

- Carbon prices already drive investment
- Further development of coherent market framework will deliver further benefits
- Avoid second-guessing market delivery

