#### **Notes on Carbon Finance**

Thomas C. Heller Stanford University Program in Energy and Sustainable Development

#### Copenhagen and Climate (3x3)x(4x4)

#### We know what to do

- Capturing the energy efficiency opportunity
- De-carbonizing energy sources
- Accelerating the development and deployment of new energy technologies
- Preserving and expanding the world's carbon sinks
- Changing the attitudes and behaviors of managers and consumers
- Three mistakes
- Three stages

#### **Copenhagen and Climate (3x3)**

#### Three mistakes

- Inclusiveness vs. <u>non-cooperative</u> foundations
  - Self-interest action in international regimes
- Marginal <u>inputs</u> that drive emissions not set by relative prices alone in regulated national markets
  - Multiple levels of regulation
- <u>Targeted mechanisms</u> for varied gaming
- Three stages
  - Don't get it wrong: Copenhagen (2009 $\rightarrow$  2012)
  - Widening and tightening: open institutions and learning (2012→2020)
  - Growing out of carbon: unstable systems without real margins (2020→)

Global cost curve for greenhouse gas abatement measures beyond 'business as usual'; greenhouse gases measured in G tCO2e1

Approximate abatement required beyond 'business as usual,' 2030



<sup>1</sup>GtCO<sub>2</sub>e = gigaton of carbon dioxide equivalent; "business as usual" based on emissions growth driven mainly by increasing demand for energy and transport around the world and by tropical deforestation.

<sup>2</sup>tCO<sub>2</sub>e = ton of carbon dioxide equivalent.

<sup>3</sup>Measures costing more than €40 a ton were not the focus of this study.

<sup>4</sup>Atmospheric concentration of all greenhouse gases recalculated into CO<sub>2</sub> equivalents; ppm = parts per million.

<sup>5</sup> Marginal cost of avoiding emissions of 1 ton of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalents in each abatement demand scenario.

#### Key climate (negotiation) questions

- What should all countries be doing for themselves?
- What can be done (in addition to national self-interest) with an international mechanism to pay for positive carbon values?
- What current costs can innovation bring down (and how are innovation gains shared)?
- How do we recognize the negative impacts of climate change on development?
- How do we build (negotiate) institutions to advance these goals and prevent unproductive investment of scarce funds?

### **National self-interest**

- Implement negative cost measures (energy efficiency)
- Eliminate subsidies that distort incentives
- Reform other inefficient regulation or policy
- Recognize local (national) collective value
  - Environmental services beyond carbon
  - Ecosystem services and other capturable domestic economic gains
  - National carbon services (scale or politics)
- Country studies: National pathways (to a set goal) as informational: baselines and incremental costs

#### Negotiation dynamics and goals: Going right at Copenhagen

- National action plans to implement selfinterested climate actions (policy baselines)
- Linked international support packages with incentives to mitigate beyond (do more than comply with) national climate baselines
- Deforestation and land use (incremental) emissions reduction mechanisms
- Innovation acceleration mechanisms

#### Reframing and Learning: Going wrong at Copenhagen?

- Energy Efficiency: International Action?
- Technology support: IPRs? Sectoral measures (divide EE from costly)? Monitoring capacity?
- Deforestation: Agricultural market drivers?
- Innovation acceleration: Transforming downstream markets? Multilateral record?
- Adaptation: Ex post or ex ante ODA?
- Carbon finance: productive investments?
  tendering? Expertise?

#### **Copenhagen and Climate (4x4)**

#### Key questions

- Clarity of concepts and facts
  - Input markets and institutions: varied gravity forces
  - First margin: energy efficiency as global good?
  - Second margin: technology transfer & deep deforestation
  - Third margin: technology development upstream?
- Multi-level interventions
  - Dynamics of political gravity frame climate policy
  - National development (and security) first
- Counter-gaming (over optimality)
  - TEAPS & symmetric knowledge; thieves catch thieves
  - Barriers and first of a kind subject to manipulation; prices ex post; offsetting subsidies and distortions
- Game plans
  - SD-PAMS, national actions (baselines) + supports: WTO offers
  - Limiting the scope of the CDM?
  - Indirect measures (global dynamics)



### **Chinese CDM inventories**

- 1003 Projects since 2005
  - 152 registered (of 895 globally at end 2007); 22 awaiting registration; 803 at validation stage (of 2800 global)
- Current pipeline:246MegatonsCO<sub>2e</sub> (91.5 registered)
  - Global totals are 1150 registered (~455 China); 2600 promised (~1200 China)
  - By volume, 1/3 of total HFC-23 destruction and N<sub>2</sub>0 capture
- Projects (by number) in pipeline
  - Hydropower: 48.5%
  - Wind: 16% (36.8% of registered)
  - Waste heat 16.5% (industrial energy efficiency)
  - Landfill gas, biomass, CBM at 3-4%
  - Growing: fossil fuel switch, cement (materials), supply side energy efficiency (more efficient coal)

# **Environmental Credibility**





# Environmental Credibility: Renewables Example: Hydro

Strong push for exploitation of hydro resources in China

~10 GW per year added to Chinese nameplate capacity

In 2007, 10 GW of proposed hydro projects in the CDM



#### Next: CCGT and Supercritical Coal

18.4 GW of CCGT planned between 2004 and 2009

15.5 GW of CCGT currently in CDM pipeline



Data: International Gas Union, Gas to Power – China (2005)

## **Productive Carbon Finance**

- Overlay problems: multiple regulation levels
  - Markets that operate on top of distorted prices and subsidies do not yield efficient solutions
  - Endogenous market factors set up PDD additionality
  - Displacement: Non-enforcement or nonenactment of national local regulation (national values)
- Asymmetric institutional skills & knowledge
  - Expertise in underlying market drivers (national)
  - Varied expectable regulatory problems (gaming) in different mechanisms
  - Thieves catch thieves

# Endogenous market prices set up PDD marginality (beyond industrial gases)

#### Gas flaring

- Perfectly commercial at post-2001 prices
- Gas prices paid domestically lower than int'l price
- Sales to public off-takers (utilities) are uncertain
- Transmission costs not compensated
- Renewables (wind)
  - 10% RPS (2010); 20% 2020; but not enforced
  - Uncertain feed-in tariffs set case by case; vary by owners of assets
- Hydropower
  - Low tariff for hydro power supplied to grid
  - Built under business as usual

# Endogenous market prices set up PDD marginality (beyond industrial gases)

- Coal and gas power
  - New vintages supplied for efficiency against rising coal prices, local environment; diversification of energy supplies
- Energy efficiency
  - Why not pure local good on IRR? (systemic know how?)
  - Commercial energy prices low through subsidies
  - Banks will not lend on EE raising capital costs
  - VAT & Local content rules drive up costs
- Landfill gases
  - Price of domestic natural gas low
  - Displacement of local environmental value
  - Prices of electricity vary at wholesale and retail for electricity generation
- Subsidies and virtual baselines
  - Integrated oil firms retail losses offset by upstream subsidies; capital subsidies; lump-sum payments; dividend policy

#### **CDM issues & remedies**

#### Infra-marginal rents

- HFC-23 reductions: 4.7 B euro paid > 100 M costs (incentives?)
- Auctions (supply) and administered prices (demand side)
- Environmental productivity
  - Dynamic baselines (HFC-23 additionality); expert (private) funds (TEAPS or carbon trust) with targeted counter-gaming versus regulatory problems
  - National programs versus leakage (programmatic crediting?)
- Time bias
  - Prices (opportunity costs) and quantities (supplementarity)
- Market transformation vs. ongoing subsidy
  - Positive list; infrastructure investment
- Endogenous safety valve less reliable/transparent than explicit price cap
- Weakest margin
  - Only at edges of existing projects; energy efficiency? CCS?

#### **Targeted financial mechanisms**

- Existing projects margin: poor targets and dynamic baselines
  - Fuel switching: NG market development
    - Upstream growth and security; local environment; policy & infrastructure
  - Renewables
    - Cap price; national subsidies and portfolio standards
    - Inefficient over carbon price in quantity mandates?
  - Transportation fuels & hybrids
    - National caps, national mandates, oil prices
    - Carbon efficiency in first generation beyond wastes?
  - Forestry
    - International leakage
    - Excessive payments
    - Agricultural drivers

## **Carbon Finance Design**

- Self-revelation of baseline (incentives to win bids)
  - Self-interest separated from added value
    - Self-interest split between private and national
- 2 part evaluation
  - Country risk (Costa Rica problem)
  - Project carbon productivity
- Auction structure for funds
  - Minimize infra-marginal rent transfers
  - Fund contributions at national market price of permits
- Private expertise at operational level
  - Public trusteeship or oversight boards
- Differentiated by regulatory problems
  - Counter-gaming against displacement
- Automatic link to national development goals
- Voluntary actions; preparation for cap baselines