

Linking domestic ETS and the post-2012 international climate policy

Paris, 23 September 2008

Thomas Bernheim

DG Environment

European Commission



Why link domestic ETS?

- Achieve emission reductions at lower cost
 - Access to more low cost emissions reductions
- More robust price signal and less price volatility
 - Larger trading volumes / higher liquidity
- More stable expectations for investors
- Strengthen cooperation between parties with binding targets and increase incentives for others to take such targets on board
- Collaboration in design issues facilitates linkage of emerging systems



Considerations for linking

- Environmental integrity linking trading systems should not lead to fewer emissions reductions than if schemes operated independently (e.g. currency, price caps)
- Institutional compatibility institutional structures of the trading systems to be linked (i.e., allowances, emissions covered, registries) should be generally compatible
- Economic efficiency linking two or more trading systems should provide same or better cost savings relative to independent operation (avoid overly complicated gateways)
- Equity linking trading systems should not unfairly disadvantage any participants (targets, allocation rules)
- Transition for transitional phase differences may be tolerable important: long-term convergence!



Linking with EU ETS

EU linking and revision ongoing

- EU ETS: 30 countries, incl. Norway, Iceland, Liechtenstein
- Linking agreements can be concluded with developed country which has ratified the Kyoto Protocol
- In revision of EU ETS: more flexibility foreseen for linking EU ETS with other mandatory emission trading systems capping absolute emissions, e.g. non-Kyoto Parties, regions



The ultimate objective is a global carbon market

The European Commission is a founding member of the International Carbon Action Partnership.



www.icapcarbonaction.com







- ICAP international forum of governments and public authorities to discuss relevant technical questions on the design, compatibility and potential linkage of regional carbon markets (since Oct 2007)
- Membership: countries or regions engaged in designing or implementing mandatory and trade systems
 - EU ETS, RGGI, WCI, Australia, New Zealand,
 - Observership possible: Japan
- Activities 2008:
 - Conference on Monitoring, Reporting, Verification, Compliance and Enforcement, 19-20 May, Brussels
 - Conference on Auctioning carbon allowances, 14 November, Washington DC



Pillars of the global carbon market

- 1. The European carbon market is in place
- 2. A North American carbon market is emerging
 - Regional schemes in the US (RGGI, WCI, MGGA)
 - Soon (?) to be superseded by a US ETS
 - Canada?
- 3. An Asian-Pacific market is emerging, too
 - Australia
 - New Zealand
 - Japan



Fourth emerging pillarmajor developing countries

- Major developing countries find favour with existing arrangements as a supplier of CDM credits
- The defining characteristics of the current CDM are
 - Project-based and voluntary
 - Credit for every tonne reduced/avoided (offsetting)
- The need to move beyond the CDM as we know it
 - from projects to sector / multi-sector arrangements
 - from offsetting to crediting
 - from voluntary to mandatory participation
- CDM in its current design is a temporary solution



US: Developments at federal level

- Intense stakeholder and expert debate has developed
- Both presidential candidates in favour of introducing a US-wide carbon market
- Increasing intensity of Congressional debate
 - Senate floor looked at Lieberman/Warner bill
 - Series of white papers in the House



US: The significance of ETS

- Analysts expect EU/US markets to account for lion's share of global market volume
- Need to assure compatibility rather than identity
- Interest to learn from European experience
- Key strategic issues
 - Type and stringency of the cap
 - Price control mechanisms
 - Recognition of international offsets / CDM (currencies allowed)
 - Currencies (AAUs, ...)



US: Developments at state level

Emerging regional US carbon markets

- RGGI Northeast: starting in 2009
 - Coverage limited to power sector
- California / West Coast: in preparation, aiming for 2012
 - ARB Draft Scoping Plan
- Midwest: work started earlier this year



Post-2012 climate policy and linking

 Ambitious post-2012 agreement would provide a political framework for strengthening and further development of carbon markets via robust domestic legislation



Conclusions

- Main objective to tackle climate change: building a global carbon market to reduce greenhouse gas emissions - to do so at least cost
- Thus linking ETS is important part of Commission strategy
- Four pillars of global carbon market: EU, North America, Asia
 Pacific and major emitting developing countries
- Timely discussion of key design elements facilitate linking
- Linking EU ETS must not jeopardise its environmental integrity



Thank you!

visit http://ec.europa.eu/environment/climat/emission.htm