

International Energy Agency

Driving CCS deployment in industry

Presentation to the 5th CCS Regulatory Network meeting Paris, 18 June 2013 Simon Bennett International Energy Agency



Outline



CCS in industrial applications

- A reminder of the importance
- What is needed to drive deployment?

Technology and demonstration

- Where do we stand today?
- Setting realistic goals
- Policies for deployment
 - Industry and power have different challenges
 - Trade exposure
 - Support mechanisms need to be tailored
 - Recommendations



Cement/steel/refining/chemicals: 20% of global CO₂



CCS is the only known option to fully decarbonise many these sectors



In the 2DS, 45% of stored CO₂ is from industry



All existing major projects today are in industrial applications: gas processing, chemicals and refining. This shows where the low-cost opportunities are.



Different regions have different patterns in the 2DS





What is needed to drive deployment?



All parts of the policy puzzle must be in place if CCS is to excel, starting with a clear vision for the future for CCS



Technology: where do we stand today?



There is a worrying lack of projects to advance technology for sectors such as steel and cement



Technology: what do we know about costs?



CO₂ that could be captured at a representative industrial site (and as % of total site emissions)

Cost estimates vary widely between sites and within sites. Consensus is still evolving.



Technology: near-term needs



iea

Why is progress challenging in these sectors?



Cost index

No easy-wins. Industries with less exposure to trade are those with high additional costs of CCS



The benefits of cooperation: cement

	Partier											
	AU	CA	CN	FR	DE	JP	KP	MX	NO	ZA	UK	US
Australia		0%	6%	0%	0%	16%	16%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%
Canada			6%			4%	0%	0%				86%
China						4%	14%	0%			0%	4%
France											5%	5%
Germany						0%						
Japan	4%	1%	5%				8%	0%				7%
Korea		0%	15%			7%						6%
Mexico				2%							0%	90%
Norway				6%							9%	17%
outh Africa											3%	4%
UK				5%								11%
USA		13%						12%				

Doutrook

Percentage indicates fall in trade exposure for the row country if policy was coordinated with the column country. Black indicates that the two countries are each others' most beneficial partner



The benefits of cooperation: cement

	Partner											
	AU	CA	CN	FR	DE	JP	KP	MX	NO	ZA	UK	US
Australia		0%	24%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Canada												92%
China					0%	8%						5%
France			0%		22%						1%	0%
Germany			0%	12%			0%	0%			6%	0%
Japan			15%		0%		19%	0%				0%
Korea					0%	30%						27%
Mexico					0%							66%
Norway					40%							0%
South Africa					0%							0%
UK		0%	-2%		5%		0%	0%				0%
USA		49%	8%				13%	5%				

If countries work together on developing CCS policies for trade-exposed sectors, negative impacts and, potentially, subsidies, can be limited



An approach to policymaking

• **Objective:** enable financing to flow to large-scale CCS projects with sufficient assurance of revenue such that carbon leakage and competitiveness concerns are mitigated.

Good policy options are likely to:

- 1. be cross-sectoral (reduce emissions at lowest cost)
- 2. place delivery risk on projects (place CCS into a competitive market context)
- 3. provide a continuous marginal incentive (potential to achieve beyond an EPS)
- 4. be quantity-based (preferable where costs are unknown)
- 5. share costs between public and private sector on the basis of trade exposure
- Different options depending on level of trade-exposure
 - Trade-exposed sectors/countries:
 - Government could hold reverse-auctions for fixed amounts of stored CO₂, transferring cost to private sector over time
 - Less trade-exposed sectors:
 - Feebates, portfolio standards are options. Depends on uniformity of traded product.



Recommendations to CEM governments

Global Action to Advance Carbon Capture and Storage



- 1. Commit public funding to ~10 pilot and demo-scale projects in cement, steel etc.
- Support projects according to their contribution to knowledge (not immediate CO₂ emission reductions)
- 3. Incorporate CCS in forward-looking industrial strategies
- 4. Address competitiveness concerns of sectors in global competition
- 5. Better exploit synergies between sectors
- 6. Involve all industry sectors in actions to advance CCS



International Energy Agency

Thank you for your attention



Stepwise technology development is key to policy

As in the power sector, CCS policy in each industrial sector will pass through several phases



Initially, costs of CCS will be far higher than any (implicit or explicit) carbon price. Different policies are required for each stage, and defined gateways will be necessary to transition between them