Improving the efficiency of research & development in energy research policy by making use of innovation science insights

IEA-Workshop "Using long term scenarios for R&D priority setting"

Paris

15. 2. 2007

Clemens Cremer

Page 1





Overview

- 1. Challenges & opportunities in energy-related research and technology markets
- 2. Objectives: develop a method for more efficiency of energy R&D funding
- 3. Methodological procedure of the EduaR&D project
- 4. Identify the stage of the energy technology within the technology cycle
- 5. Describe the major players of the innovation system of the technology
- 6. Lessons learned and Conclusions
 - Page 2





Challenges & opportunities in energy-related research and technology development

Major challenges:

- Climate change
- Depletion mid-point of oil in 10 to 25 years?
 Severe energy price increases? Pressure on natural gas?
- Doubling primary energy demand by 2040?

Future energy systems need more efficiency, more flexibility, faster technical progressHowever: Public funds are already constrained,

private R&D funds are equally limited.

Page 3







Objectives of the EduaR&D project

What **methods** are available to *achieve a* **more efficient and effective use of public funds** for research and innovation?

Is it possible to develop such?

Page 5

Or is allocation of research funds a process of chaos due to complexity?

- Reduce recognisable risks, increase chances of success,
- Expose lobby groups to a broader discussion based on more information,
- Evaluate R&D topics not only from energy-economic and energytechnology perspectives, but also in their innovation and market diffusion context
- Work in the framework of technical competition, exports of manufacturing industries, division of labour of EU and national research, public/industrial

Folie 5





Methodological procedure of the EduaR&D project

Traditional procedure:

- mainly from an energy-economic viewpoint (often supply-oriented)
- technology-oriented, R&D hardly seen as part of an innovation process
- open R&D programme, assessment of submitted applications based on scientific and project management criteria

This EduarR&D project: procedure for four selected technology areas

- Future energy-economic significance of the technologies (2020 2030)
- Analysis of position in technology cycle (for individual technology elements)
- Analysis of the technology-specific innovation system (for individual elements)
- Get advice and tacit knowledge from experts by interviews

Systems and

Innovation Research

- International workshop to discuss methodology and results in February 2006

Page 6



Fraunho

Basic Technology Cycle for macro-innovations



Example: Fuel Cells SOFC patents at the European Pat. Office and publications of SCI



Fuel cell citations in German popular literature



Example CO₂ Capture and Storage Trends in scientific journals for CO₂ capture and CO₂ storage



Fraunhofer



The German Innovation System for CCS-Technologies



The CCS Innovation System in Germany (I)

Industry: technology producers

- World market for power generation technologies
- Two large suppliers in Germany with domestic R&D and production:
- To date: still co-operation for the development of CCS technologies as mainly fundamental problems are tackled
- Openness/Uncertainty: technology producers tend to follow all technological routes

Industry: utilities

Page 12

- Very low number of "customers" for CCS technologies
- Utilities used to be a long time very reluctant towards CCS
- Now: Pilot plant is built and demo plants are in planning phase

The CCS Innovation System in Germany (II)

Public Research

- High share of public funding and dependency thereon
- Low level of international co-operation and low interest to seek higher degree of international co-operation
- Institutes started to work on CCS with a delay compared to other industrialised countries
- Intermediaries, financial, educational institutions
 - Need for intermediaries low: large players

Fraunhofer Institute

Systems and

Institutional adaptations started to train engineers





Lessons learned and conclusions

- Most of the new technologies emerging from R&D do have their traditional technological competitors – often no clear analysis of the competing technology
- New technologies often more costly than their traditional competitors due to almost individual manufacturing (lack of mass production, lacking learning effects). Cost reduction potential often not analysed (or too late)
- The analysis of the technology cycle identifies technical bottlenecks and avoids policy action not suited for the stage of the technology cycle
- The innovation system analysis identifies most knowledgeable players of the various components of the new energy technology and upcoming bottlenecks of the market diffusion phase







Conclusions

- Analysis goes beyond the technological bottlenecks; it identifies cost and systemic bottlenecks (looking ahead to diffusion)
 - Opens floor for a wider set of technology options (including technical competitors)
 - Focuses R&D funds and policy measures to the relevant bottlenecks
- Structuring the discourse of all stakeholders involved by referring to the stage in the technology cycle
- Approach seems to be flexible enough to match the requirements of almost any new energy-related technology
- Approach demands a major analytical and interdisciplinary effort
- Approach has good chances to improve efficiency of energy-related R&D

Page 16





framework to improve the efficiency of R&D and the market diffusion of energy technologies – EduaR&D

Report on a research project to the **Ministry of Economics and** Technology Berlin

(To be published by

Springer this year)

