

# Carbon Capture and Storage: A technology overview

18 May 2011

Carbon Capture and Storage Unit  
International Energy Agency

# CONTENTS


1. CCS in general
2. Capture technologies
3. CO<sub>2</sub> transport
4. CO<sub>2</sub> storage
5. Current and planned projects

# CCS IS A *CHAIN*

Carbon Capture and Storage is a chain/group of technologies and applications that enable:

## 1. Capture of CO<sub>2</sub> from large point sources

Power plants, steel, cement, refineries, gas processing etc.



## 2. Its transport

Trucks, ships, pipelines




Maersk



Gassco

## 3. Storage of CO<sub>2</sub> in geological formations


Depleted oil and gas fields, saline aquifers, EOR, ECBMR etc.




Vattenfall



# CCS TECHNOLOGY




# APPLICABLE CO<sub>2</sub> SOURCES



Source: Bellona

# APPLICABLE STORAGE RESERVOIRS




- Depleted gas/oil fields
- Saline formations
- Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR)
- Enhanced Coal-bed Methane Recovery (ECBM)

# CONTENTS

1. CCS in general
2. **Capture technologies**
3. CO<sub>2</sub> transport
4. CO<sub>2</sub> storage
5. Current and planned projects



# Overview of CO<sub>2</sub> capture processes





# Post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture

## Process Layout



## Demo plants




Example: 20 MWe Mountaineer demo project, US

## Key challenges & development trends

- Scale-up of capture equipment; prove commercial size application at power plants
- Low-cost absorber designs
- Develop solvents with reduced energy penalty & minimized slip to ambient

# Pre-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture

## Process Layout



## Demo plants



Example: Planned pilot site at Buggenum, NL

## Key challenges & development trends


- Prove integration of IGCC power plant with capture technology at commercial scale
- Optimize system design and process availability
- Further improve high hydrogen gas turbines

# Oxy-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture

## Process Layout



## Demo plants



Example: 30 MWth Jämschwalde demo plant, Germany


## Key challenges & development trends

- Reduce air separation energy requirement
- Long-term stability of boiler materials to recycled impurities from combustion process
- Optimize oxygen-firing combustion system



# Latest IEA Study

- Reference document for latest information on CO<sub>2</sub> capture cost and performance
- Focus on CO<sub>2</sub> capture from power generation
- In-depth analysis based on major engineering studies



Available for free on IEA webpage

## Key average results

Fuel (capture route)	Coal (pre-, post-, oxy-combustion)	Natural gas (post-comb.)
Efficiency penalty (%-pts.)	<b>10</b> (pre-combustion vs. IGCC: 8)	<b>8</b>
Capital cost increase over baseline without CCS	<b>74%</b> (vs. PC reference)	<b>82%</b>

Notes: Figures are for OECD countries and include only CO<sub>2</sub> capture and compression, but not CO<sub>2</sub> transport and storage; capital costs are overnight costs

- Substantial variation in costs across regions and depending on fuel and power plant types



# Capture Summary

- A variety of capture routes is under development:
  - Post-combustion
  - Pre-combustion
  - Oxy-combustion
- For coal-fired power generation, no capture route outperforms alternative routes
- An increase in capital costs of about 70-80% on top of the costs of the baseline power plant without CCS is estimated (this reflects the size of additional equipment required)
- Substantial variation exists in costs across regions and depending on fuel and power plant types

# CONTENTS

1. CCS in general
2. Capture technologies
3. **CO<sub>2</sub> transport**
4. CO<sub>2</sub> storage
5. Current and planned projects

# CO<sub>2</sub> TRANSPORT (1): PIPELINES

- CO<sub>2</sub> can be transported liquid or in gaseous form, but compressed gas the main option, 10-80 Mpa pressures
- Approximately 5600km of CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines exist (mostly in US)
- Currently handling some 50Mt of CO<sub>2</sub> per year
- Existing conventional technology
- Main issues: pipeline economics, permitting, planning
- Risks: potential high concentrations in low-lying areas in case of rupture; however excellent safety record to date




Gassco



Duke University

# CO<sub>2</sub> TRANSPORT (2): SHIPS

- CO<sub>2</sub> in liquid or in gaseous form, liquid the main option
- Current experience: handful of food-grade CO<sub>2</sub> carriers, no large CO<sub>2</sub> carrier fleet
- Liquid CO<sub>2</sub> only under 1) low-temperature and 2) pressure well-above atmospheric → pressure-type or semi-refrigerated tankers (-54°C, 6-7 bar)
- Technology similar to LNG carriers
- Risks: as in shipping overall; asphyxiation if rupture



Maersk



Arabian Oil & Gas




# CONTENTS

1. CCS in general
2. Capture technologies
3. CO<sub>2</sub> transport
4. **CO<sub>2</sub> storage**
5. Current and planned projects

# CO<sub>2</sub> STORAGE (1)

*CO<sub>2</sub> storage solutions (Source: IPCC)*



- A variety of storage solutions
- Operating: Deep saline formations, oil/gas fields, EOR

# CO2 STORAGE (2)

*Capacity Estimates (Gt CO<sub>2</sub>)(Source:GHG)*

Storage Type	Global (IPCC 2005)	Global (IEAGHG)	USA	Europe	Russia (IEA2008)
DSF	1,000 – 10,000		3,300 – 13,000	90 – 330	2000
Depleted Gas	680 – 900	160	140	20 - 32	150-200
CO <sub>2</sub> -EOR		65			


- Significant uncertainty and different estimation methods
- Standardization for CO<sub>2</sub> storage capacity estimation





# CO<sub>2</sub> STORAGE (3)

## *Monitoring : Seismic Survey (Source: STATOIL)*

### Sleipner: An Overview



### CO<sub>2</sub> plume in map view (not to scale)




- Various methods for monitoring
- Best practice guidelines for storage monitoring



# CO<sub>2</sub> STORAGE (4)

## *Potential Escape Mechanism (Source: IPCC)*



### **Potential Escape Mechanisms**

<b>A.</b> CO <sub>2</sub> gas pressure exceeds capillary pressure & passes through siltstone	<b>B.</b> Free CO <sub>2</sub> leaks from A into upper aquifer up fault	<b>C.</b> CO <sub>2</sub> escapes through 'gap' in cap rock into higher aquifer	<b>D.</b> Injected CO <sub>2</sub> migrates up dip, increases reservoir pressure & permeability of fault	<b>E.</b> CO <sub>2</sub> escapes via poorly plugged old abandoned well	<b>F.</b> Natural flow dissolves CO <sub>2</sub> at CO <sub>2</sub> / water interface & transports it out of closure	<b>G.</b> Dissolved CO <sub>2</sub> escapes to atmosphere or ocean
--	---	---	--	---	--	--

### **Remedial Measures**


<b>A.</b> Extract & purify ground-water	<b>B.</b> Extract & purify ground-water	<b>C.</b> Remove CO <sub>2</sub> & reinject elsewhere	<b>D.</b> Lower injection rates or pressures	<b>E.</b> Re-plug well with cement	<b>F.</b> Intercept & reinject CO <sub>2</sub>	<b>G.</b> Intercept & reinject CO <sub>2</sub>
---	---	---	--	------------------------------------	--	--

- Various potential leakage mechanisms
- Develop safety regulations and criteria

# CONTENTS

1. CCS in general
2. Capture technologies
3. CO<sub>2</sub> transport
4. CO<sub>2</sub> storage
- 5. Current and planned projects**


# CURRENT AND PLANNED PROJECTS (1)



*Five large-scale projects are currently storing >5Mt CO<sub>2</sub> per year*

# CURRENT AND PLANNED PROJECTS (2)

*72 other integrated large-scale projects in various stages of development*



LSIPs: Global

Industry sector

- Power generation
- Gas processing
- Multiple capture facilities
- Other industry

Storage type

- EOR (Enhanced oil recovery)
- Deep saline formations
- Depleted oil and gas reservoirs
- Deep basalt formations
- Various/not specified

Source: