

RD&D Needs for Energy System Climate Preparedness and Resilience

Opening Remarks

Dr. Robert C. Marlay Director, Office of Climate Change Policy and Technology Office of International Affairs U.S. Department of Energy robert.marlay@hq.doe.gov

13-14 November 2013 Experts Group on R&D Priority Setting and Evaluation International Energy Agency Utrecht, The Netherlands





- I. The Changing Climate
- **II. Energy Technologies Vulnerable to Changes**
- **III. Examples of Remedial Strategies**
- **IV. Workshop Objectives and Desired Outcomes**



I. The Changing Climate

- Key Indicators for Science and Research
 - Air Temperature Rise
 - Sea Level Rise
 - Changing Patterns of Precipitation & Water
 Availability
 - Frequency & Magnitude of Extreme Events
 - For Example: Hurricanes & Wildfires



IPCC AR5 Update to Radiative Forcing

	Emitted Resulting Atmospheric Compound Drivers		Radiative Forcing by Emissions and Drivers								
Anthropogenic	Well-Mixed Greenhouse Gases	CO ₂	CO ₂	1		· · · ·		VH			
		CH_4	CO ₂ H ₂ O ^{str} O ₃ CH ₄	1			0.97 [0.74 to 1.20]	н			
		Halo- carbons	O3 CFCs HCFCs				0.18 [0.01 to 0.35]	н			
		N ₂ O	N ₂ O				0.17 [0.13 to 0.21) VH			
	nd Aerosols	со	CO ₂ CH ₄ O ₃	1			0.23 [0.16 to 0.30]	M			
		NMVOC	CO ₂ CH ₄ O ₃	1 1 1	H		0.10 [0.05 to 0.15]	м			
	Gases a	NO _x	Nitrate CH ₄ O ₃		+		-0.15 [-0.34 to 0.03]	M			
	Short Lived	Aerosols and precursors (Mineral dust,	Mineral Dust Sulphate Nitrate Organic Carbon Black Carbon		· • •		-0.27 [-0.77 to 0.23]	н			
		SO ₂ , NH ₃ , Organic Carbon and Black Carbon)	Cloud Adjustments due to Aerosols		• <u> </u>		-0.55 [-1.33 to -0.06]	L			
			Albedo Change due to Land Use		H		-0.15 [-0.25 to -0.05]	м			
Natural			Changes in Solar Irradiance	1	· •		0.05 [0.00 to 0.10]	M			
Total Anthropogenic RF relative to 1750					2011		2.29 [1.13 to 3.33]	н			
					1980		→ 1.25 [0.64 to 1.86]	н			
				1	1950		0.57 [0.29 to 0.85]	M			
10				-1	0	1	2 3				
	Radiative Forcing relative to 1750 (W m ⁻²)										

Fig. SPM.5

IPCC AR5 WG1, Summary for Policy Makers, Approved 27Sep. 2013



(a)

Air Temperature Rise -- Past & Projected



IPCC AR5 WG1, Summary for Policy Makers, Approved 27Sep2013, Fig SPM.1(a) IPCC AR5 WG1, Summary for Policy Makers, Approved 27Sep2013, Fig SPM.7(a) (change in global annual mean surface temperature relative to 1986 - 2005)



Surface Air Temperature Rise -- Two Scenarios



IPCC AR5 WG1, Summary for Policy Makers , Approved 27Sep2013, Figure SPM.8(a) Maps of CMIP5 multi-model mean results for the scenarios RCP2.6 and RCP8.5. RCP - Representative Concentration Pathway (at selected radiative forcing in 2100, W/m²)



Sea Level Rise -- Past and Projected



Changing Patterns of Precipitation -- Past and Projected

Change in average precipitation (1986-2005 to 2081-2100)



IPCC AR5 WG1, Summary for Policy Makers , Approved 27Sep2013, Fig PM.8(b) Maps of CMIP5 multi-model mean results for the scenarios RCP2.6 and RCP8.5.

(b)

Projected Annual Change in Mean Soil Moisture – 4 Scenarios





Availability of Water from Snowpack Washington State, U.S. Northwest

Historical SWE average



April 1 Snow-Water Equivalent



Reduced SWE caused by:

-Greater proportion of precipitation falling as rain rather than snow

- Warmer spring temperatures causing earlier runoff

Source: Elsner et. al (2010)

Projected SWE (A1B scenario)



-29%



-65%

Projected Increase in Number of Cat. 4 & 5 Atlantic Hurricanes – Two 20-Year Periods

Current climate conditions (modeled)

Projected conditions



Projected Changes for 2081-2100 compared to 2001-2020

Source: Bender et. al; Modeled Impact of Anthropogenic Warming on the Frequency of Intense Atlantic Hurricanes, Science; 22 Jan. 2010





Projected Change in Wildfires for 2010 to 2099



Krawchuk MA, et al, (2009) "Global Pyrogeography: the Current and Future Distribution of Wildfire." PLoSONE 4(4): e5102. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005102

28 November 2013



Time to Equilibrium

Time to Equilibrium

Climate-change experts predict that even when GHG emissions are curtailed, their effects on the environment will continue to be felt for hundreds, if not thousands, of years.

Jones-Thompson, Maryanne, "Engineering Climate", Technology Review, MIT, March 2005

II. Energy Technologies Vulnerable to Change

U.S. Department of Energy, "U.S. Energy Sector Vulnerabilities to Climate Change and Extreme Weather," DOE/PI-0013, July 2013

Energy-Using Technologies

- Increased Air Temperatures
 Will Increase Demand for
 Cooling and Refrigeration
- Magnitude and Frequency of Heat Events Will Result in More Extreme Peaking of Energy Demand (For Cooling and to Offset Transmission Grid Efficiency Losses).

Energy Oil & Gas Production Systems

Water Availability

Storms

28 November 2013

Gulf Coast Energy Assets at Risk

- Modeled ~ 50,000 oil and gas structures including 90,000 miles of pipelines, 2000 offshore platforms and 27,000 wells
- Considered over 500,000 miles of T&D, and ~300 generation facilities
- Consolidated information across 10-15 key databases, including EIA, MMS, Energy Velocity, OGJ, Tecnon, HPDI, Wood Mackenzie, Ventyx, Energy Velocity, Entergy

Entergy: Report CC Adaptation Gulf Coast Oct 2010

Oil/Gas/Chemical Assets

Entergy: Report CC Adaptation Gulf Coast Oct 2010 Source: MMS; Oil and gas journal; Energy Velocity

Thermal Energy Power Systems

Water Availability & Temperature

> Water Availability & Temperature Storms & Flooding

Water Availability

& Temperature

Storms & Flooding

Renewable Energy Systems

Temperature & Storms

Wildfires

Hydropower Increase or Decrease?

Changes in Wind Patterns

Electric Grid

Wildfires

Temperature & Storms Icing of Power Lines

Temperature - Sag

Fuel Distribution Systems

Storms

Storms & Floods

28 November 2013

Thawing Permafrost

Climate Impacts on Energy Systems

Vulnerability	Temperature	Sea Level Rise	Precipitation	Water Availability	Extreme Events			
Technology					Storms	Floods	Drought	Fires
Energy Demand	\checkmark				\checkmark			
Oil/Gas Prod	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark
Thermal Power	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Hydro	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	
Wind					\checkmark			\checkmark
Solar	\checkmark				\checkmark			
Other (CSP, Geo)	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Bio-Energy/fuels	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Electric Grid	\checkmark				\checkmark			\checkmark
Fuel Transport								

CSP = concentrating solar power

- Reduced Energy Demand from Temperature and Infrastructure
 - Energy Efficient Buildings
 - Improvements to Appliances/Equipment
 - Natural Ventilation, Sun Shading
 - Improved HVAC, GSHP,
 - Water-Conserving Thermal Processes

Sea Level Rise and Coastal Resiliency Measures

City of New York, "A Stronger, More Resilient New York," June 11, 2013 Department of City Planning (DCP)

Reduced Water Demand by Reuse

- Alternative Water Supplies To Freshwater:
 - Brackish or Waste Water for Power Plant Cooling
 - Reuse/Recycle Water for Gas Production
 - Dry Cooling, Advanced Condensing Cooling Towers

Reduced Water Demand via Indirect Dry Cooling

This power station in Mpumalanga, South Africa, comprises 6 x 686 MW units, and operates with the largest indirect dry cooling system in service world wide. The indirect cooling plant was built by DB Thermal in 1984. It includes 6 Cooling Towers, each 165m high with a throat diameter of 102m.

IV. Workshop Objectives and Desired Outcomes

- All Energy Technologies Exhibit Some Vulnerabilities to the Consequences of the Changing Climate
- Each Country or Region Will Likely Have a Unique set of Urgencies
- New and Strengthened Technologies Can be Made More Resilient to Many Challenges
- Better Information Can Influence Parameters of Design
- Energy RD&D Planners Should Consider How the Changing Climate Will Alter RD&D Priority-Setting
- What are the Top Priorities for Increased RD&D Investments?
- What are Top-Priority Supporting Policy and Analytical Needs?

Additional Questions Needing Attention

- How do you define climate preparedness and resilience for energy systems?
- What tools, data, and information would be most helpful in evaluating climate preparedness and resilience?
- What lessons can be learned from the private sector, or from public-private partnerships in developing response strategies and deploying climate-resilient energy technologies?
- What are the elements of an effective, integrated framework for monitoring, evaluating and communicating progress towards a climate resilient energy system?
- What approaches would be most effective to communicate results of energy sector vulnerability assessments to climate change, and to inform decision-making for prioritization or restructuring of research investments and related policies, and achieve desired outcome?

Workshop Products and Next Steps

- Summary Report of Workshop
- Key Findings and Recommendations
 - Vulnerabilities to Energy Systems
 - Potential for Technological Remedies
 - Selected R&D Needs
 - Additional Tools & Information
 - Supporting Policy
- Next Steps
 - Communicate Results to CERT and Above
 - Convey Conclusions to IEA Technology Network
 - Take Lessons Home & Influence National Research Agenda