



IRES impact - Is there a need for change?

Ralf Becker

United Nations Statistics Division

InterEnerStat meeting
September 2015

Questionnaire responses

- Summarized in separate document
 - In general, most agencies are using IRES
 - Some had to make minor adjustments based on their mandate
 - Minor modifications have been made by some for practical reasons
 - Impact should be minimal
-

Main messages

General issues:

- IRES still in draft form
 - Impediment to implementation
 - While agencies may adopt new definitions and request data accordingly, status of country compliance largely unknown
-

Main messages

Areas of concern:

- SIEC detail/definitions (also covered in Session 3)
 - renewables
 - solid biofuels, waste
 - evolving concepts (conventional vs. non-conventional oil)
 - BKB
 - liquid biofuels
 - Energy balances (also covered in Session 6)
 - Need for complementary information on data
-

Main messages

- Despite individual issues listed, there is generally no perceived need to revise IRES or the underlying definitions
 - Should we still set up a “low key” review process to agree on improvements to address these issues?
 - Could be used in a later revision
 - Classification could be revised independently of IRES
-