Round Table on existing and planned programes and initiatives

# **INDUSTRIAL ENERGY EFFICIENCY POLICIES IN JAPAN**

#### Yukari YAMASHITA

The Institute of Energy Economics, Japan (IEEJ)

30 September 2011

Paris

**IEA-EEP Policy Pathway on Energy Management Programes WS** 

# Japan's EE of Manufacturing is among the top



Japan's manufacturing industries are among the top level of the world in Energy Efficiency.

## Huge EE Improvement in Industry Sector





**Energy Efficiency** improved notably in the <u>industry sector</u> after the oil crises bringing energy intensity of GDP <u>down by 40%</u>. On the other hand, intensity of **Residential & Commercial** and **Transport** Sectors increased even after 1973. Thus the overall intensity did not improve much.

### But EE improvement effort continues because (2): Energy Supply Security is Never ENOUGH







•Oil dependency declined but is still about 50%. Dependency on fossil fuels is more than 80%.

• Japan used to supply 80% of energy use with domestic coal and hydro power in 1950's. With shift towards imported oil and closure of domestic coal mines, self sufficiency dropped rapidly. It remains low at 5%.

• Dependency on middle east oil started to increase again after mid 80's and it is close to 90%.



# EE Driving Forces for Industry Sector



## Energy Management (EM) and Technology

- Energy Conservation Act (1979)
  - EM Designated Factories  $\rightarrow$  Plan, Exercise and Report on EE
- Financial Support to Invest on EE Technologies (subsidies, tax reduction, etc.)
- Technology R&D (Collaboration by Government, Industries, Academics)

### **Energy Price Hike**

- Powerful incentive for EE&C
- Oil Crises  $\rightarrow$  <u>Challenge for Survival</u> for business sectors

Corporate Responsibility

• CSR (Corporate Social Responsibility)  $\rightarrow$  Top Management's Responsibility

Keidanren Voluntary Action Plan (1997~

# Spirit of Energy Conservation Act (ECA)

- Based on Heat Management Act (1947)
- To encourage "autonomous effort" of EE&C as "<u>cost effective</u>" measures.
- 2 important factors :
  - Prepare a <u>Energy Management (EM) system</u> within each industry which allows "Plan-Do-Check-Act" cycle to function to improve EE autonomously while maintaining productivity.



- Set EE as the <u>basic rule of action</u> for corporate activities.
- 4 major tools prepared:
  - 1. Energy Manager as a <u>national certificate</u> scheme
  - 2. Nomination of "energy managers" within the factories and offices to conduct EM.
  - 3. Establishment of EM system to monitor and report on EE&C.
  - 4. Making Top Management responsible for EE&C.

現場力

on-site experts' wisdom and skills are the KEY

# Main challenges for EC Act (prior to 2008)<sup>11</sup>

- Manufacturing sector have exhausted <u>cost-effective EE</u> <u>potential</u> while <u>Commercial (building) sector</u>'s energy consumption is increasing
- SMEs do not have enough knowledge and means for EE improvement
- There <u>is no defined indicators (intensity)</u> even for material industries (Iron & Steel, Cement, Paper & Pulp, Chemical) to see how much EE has been done and how much more is possible, etc.
- Those industries which have taken early actions and have exhausted EE potential ask for exemption from the annual 1% EE improvement target. But <u>how to compare companies</u> of same industry and give credit to the more advanced ones in terms of EE.
- A transparent and fair process is required to compare indicators on <u>energy efficiency improvement effort</u>.

# The Latest Change in EE Act (2010 April-)



O Introduction of comprehensive EM by <u>business</u> <u>enterprises</u> → Wider coverage (headquarter office of manufacturing, branch offices, smaller factories, etc.)

○ Continuing regulation for big users (factories that use more than a certain amount of energy) with new tool.
→ Introduction of Benchmark

O Each franchise chain in its entirety will be regulated as a single business. → Wider coverage (restaurants, convenience stores)

OTop management are made responsible to oversee EM.
→ Swift Decision Making and robust EM system

### Basic Rules of Regulation (Factories and Offices)



Further promotion of Energy Management by introducing the new obligation:



- ① Transition of Energy Intensities
- ② Status of EE measures
- **3 Benchmark Indicator status**

Penalty such as "Instruction", "Announcement", "Order" ("Fine" for disobeying order) when the status of the EE activities is considerably insufficient.



#### Obligation to appoint Energy Manager (s)

Big factories with more than 3,000kl energy consumption are designated and obligated to appoint EMs.

Universal Target: 1% annual improvement

New Target: Benchmark Indicators and its Target Level are added to the universal target. Designated sectors: Steel, Electricity, Cement, Paper & Pulp, Oil Refinery, Chemical Target Level: The level which top 10-20% efficient firms achieve.

#### Fact about "Energy Managers"

OKey Experts who are nominated and empowered to make EM plans, instructions to the workers and oversee EM within designated enterprises.

O <u>National Certificate is required to</u> become <u>EMs under Japan's EC Act.</u>

O For 5,800 designated factories, there are over 50,000 certified energy managers. Industries and enterprises have encouraged their employees to pass the exam of national certificate to strengthen EE skills of the workers.

### Wider Coverage by Regulating Company level (factories, headquarter, branches)

- Business enterprises who use more than 1500 kl (crude oil base) of energy in their factories are designated by the government as specific businesses.
- Each franchise chain in its entirety will be regulated as a single business and those with more than 15 million kl (crude oil base) of energy as a whole are designated as specific chain businesses.
- Obligation includes, formulation of a medium- to long-term plan, periodic reporting per business, appointment of energy management supervisor (top management class), etc.
- Individual factories and offices which use more than 3000 kl (Class 1) and more than 1500 kl (Class 2) remain designated entities and reporting and other obligation will continue to be in place.





## Why Benchmark?

- Common evaluation standard (benchmark) for principal sectors was required to enable fair comparison of the <u>EE</u> <u>status</u> among industries.
- Publication of average, variance and best practices will give clear guidance for other industries to improve their own EE status.
- Designated industries set common operation/production boundary, agreed on common intensity and collected data to estimate and define "benchmark". (by industries and by production categories)
- Those who have taken early actions now have ways to prove it with defined intensity and will be excused from meeting the ambitious target of annual 1% improvement.



For manufacturing, "How to recover and recycle waste heat generated from energy use" is a key to a big potential for EE improvement.
Collaboration with neighboring factories and facilities is effective



# Conclusion

# Energy management is a "powerful tool".

- The recent experience of <u>power shortage</u> in greater Tokyo Area proved that individual companies and factories could move fast and adjust daily operation with flexibility based on well informed knowledge of daily EM.
- More targeted policy making is required as potential for EE improvement gets exhausted.
- Wider collaboration is required across the country and beyond industrial boundaries to further utilize EE potential.
- Sharing best practices domestically and internationally can strengthen the efforts globally.

## THE END

### Thank you for your attention.

Yukari Yamashita : yamashita@edmc.ieej.or.jp

# JAPAN

# **Benchmark Discussion**

- Benchmarking was discussed for 3 years and will continue on commercial buildings.
- **Definition** is required on <u>boundary</u>, <u>appropriate indicator</u>, how to <u>weight</u> among different industries to estimate <u>average</u>, etc.
- Chemical industry faced challenge because of the expected shift towards higher value added from basic products with a big variety in product lines.
- Assembling industries could not agree on universal benchmark because of <u>variety of products</u> and difficulty in defining representative devisor (activity data) including values (added value, sales value, etc.)
- Petroleum refineries introduced <u>integration index</u> to consider level of integration as an important factor for refinery benchmark.
- Individual data are not disclosed because of confidentiality but overall average and variance will be announced for benchmarks.

## Benchmark defined in 2009

- > Regulation based on sectoral approach introduced for the first time in the world.
- Each designated sector must :

•define "Benchmark Indicator" to enable comparison among manufacturers on their energy intensities ... and

•set the "Target Level" of the benchmark indicator for mid/ long term.



## Historical Development of EE Act

