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Total CO₂ emissions from vehicles reduces slightly in the last 10 years.

**CO₂ emissions**

- Gasoline: 66.5%
- Diesel: 31.8%
- LPG: 1.7%

About 1/3 of CO₂ were emitted from diesel vehicles. The number of diesel vehicles is only less than 10% of total number.
Japan 2015 Fuel Consumption Regulation for HDV

- Started from 2006
- FC status in 2002 was set as the baseline.
- With technological advance and countermeasure for emission reduction, fuel economy is improved by an average of 12% by 2015.

**Target value (averaged)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Target year</th>
<th>Base year (2002) Fuel Economy</th>
<th>Target standard value (average)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trucks</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.32 km/L (415 g-CO2/km)</td>
<td>7.09 km/L (370 g-CO2/km)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(12.2% improvement)</td>
<td>(12.2% improvement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buses</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>5.62 km/L (466 g-CO2/km)</td>
<td>6.30 km/L (416 g-CO2/km)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(12.1% improvement)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Target standard values are set by categories of GVW.*
### 2015 fuel economy targets for HDVs for each weight category

#### Other Than Tractor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Category</th>
<th>Gross Vehicle Weight Range (t)</th>
<th>Maximum Load Range (t)</th>
<th>Target Standard Values (km/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>≤ 1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5 &lt; &amp; ≤ 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 &lt; &amp; ≤ 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3 &lt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.5 &lt; &amp; ≤ 8</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8 &lt; &amp; ≤ 10</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10 &lt; &amp; ≤ 12</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12 &lt; &amp; ≤ 14</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>14 &lt; &amp; ≤ 16</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>16 &lt; &amp; ≤ 20</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>20 &lt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Tractor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Category</th>
<th>Gross Vehicle Weight Range (t)</th>
<th>Target Standard Values (km/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>≤ 20</td>
<td>3.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20 &lt;</td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FC Test Method - Simulation

Fuel consumption for HDV is calculated using Simulation method. Preconditions for the calculation:

◆ **Calculation target is vehicle.**
  Not enough to improve only engine performance. Combination of engine and transmission is important.

◆ **Regulation Target is for vehicle manufactures.**
  Vehicles without loading platform; Cab and chassis

◆ **To reduce workload, "small things" are neglected.**
  e.g. Rolling resistance (RC) is set to the constant value based on the weight, and air drag is set to the constant based on the frontal area.

◆ **Engine tests needs to create a fuel efficiency map by steady state operation.**
Simulation Method Overview

Driving cycle

\[ \text{Urban driving mode} \]
\[ \text{Interurban driving mode} \]

Conversion program

- Determine gear-shift positions.
- Calculate engine speed and torque.

Vehicle specifications and maximum engine torque

Fuel efficiency map (tested)

Engine Operating Mode

Computing

Fuel consumption

\[ \text{Fuel efficiency} = \sum_{i=start}^{end} F.C.(i) \]

*Before simulation, perform engine operation tests to create a fuel efficiency map
Driving cycles for FC evaluation

“HD Vehicle Mode”

- **Urban Driving Mode** = JE05 Mode (Emission Test cycle)
  - Average speed: 27.3 km/h

- **Interurban Driving Mode** = 80 km/h Constant Speed Mode with Road Gradient
  - Gradient according to major highways in Japan
Characteristics - Why are Air Drugs "small things"?

- Air resistance in HD trucks greatly influences on fuel efficiency.
- Then, highly accurate measurement method of air resistance is often studied in many countries.
- But, the current Japanese test method uses the constant value calculated based on the frontal area.

**WHY?**

- Maximizing the loading space within the limited vehicle size is essential in Japanese market.
- Thus, cab-behind-engine trucks are not common anymore. They have now been replaced by cab-over type trucks.
Trucks of same category in Japanese market

Comparing 4 major HD vehicle manufactures

Almost the same in;
Body shape,
Dimensions.

These minor differences are set aside in the current FC test method.

But, in the next regulation....

GVW 25t trucks
(the heaviest category other than trailer)
Characteristics – Representation of Transmission Effects

◆ In the simulation, combination between engine and transmission is important.

◆ Before 2005, 7 speed manual transmission (7MT) was mainly equipped in GVW 25t class HD tracks.

◆ 12 speed automated manual transmission (12AMT) become common now for the potential to improve FC.

◆ But the effects of 12AMT vary with engine or vehicle characteristics.
Engine A and B are ca. 13L displacement TCI engines for GVW 25t trucks.

The maximum torque and FC properties are different.

**Engine A**: better performance at lower engine speed

**Engine B**: better performance at higher engine speed
Assumption in Using 12-speed AMT

FC improvement replacing from 7MT to 12AMT was estimated by the simulation. FC improved more in engine A, as 12AMT enables to keep engine speed lower.
Differences in Engine Operating State in Transient Operation

Simulation program:
The instant fuel consumption is calculated by a fuel consumption map based on steady state engine operation.

In the real world:
Vehicles are not always operated with steady state, due to delays of turbocharger and EGR performance when engine speed or torque changes.

This difference is NOT seemed to have a decisive influence on fuel consumption.

BUT
The engine operation state is greatly different in each operation.
Example:
NOx emission trends were calculated by FC calculation program using “NOx emission map” acquired by steady state engine operation.
NOx Emission Trends in Transient Cycle

Comparison between calculated NOx and measured NOx:

NOx emission trends were calculated by FC calculation program using “NOx emission map.”

In acceleration phase, measured NOx was about 3 times as much as calculated NOx, though almost the same in constant speed driving.
Next Step to move forward in 2015 FC Regulation

• METI* and MLIT** are planning the next FC regulation following 2015 Regulation.
• Concrete schedule is not yet fixed, but discussion will commerce in the near future.
• Items on the agenda will include the review of the test method and the evaluation method.

Items expected to be reviewed

• Air resistance and/or Rolling resistance
  — Whether including the actual measurement values so as to reflect improvement efforts or not?

• Transient operation correction
  — Will be introduced by degree of influence on fuel efficiency?

• Data points in fuel efficiency map
  — More testing points are applied to the test method in US and Europe.

METI* Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry
MLIT** Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transportation and Tourism

Personal opinion
Most of emission reduction technologies tend to make FC worse.

So, the timing of introducing the next regulation and the schedule should be carefully considered.

**Scheduled items in Japan:**

- **2016** - Japanese next emission regulation
  NOx 0.4g/kWh in WHTC and WHSC
- **2018** - WWH-OBD
- **20??** - PEMS ? or PN ?
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