

Industrial Technology Innovation In Meeting Climate Targets

Iron & Steel Industry

Rizwan Janjua – Manager, Technology and Environment



Five key messages for the global steel industry















Overview

- Key factors for investment in innovative technologies
- Order of priority to invest
- Energy Use in the Iron & Steel Industry Project
- Collaborative Programmes in the iron & steel industry



Key factors for investment in innovative technologies

- The steel industry needs to be profitable in order to be able to invest. Investment must make economic sense:
 - Energy efficiency reduction in costs
 - Low carbon technologies are linked to low energy costs
 - Yield improvement reduction in scrap
 - Reliability optimisation of operational units to the maximum and stop operation of units idled due to lack of demand
 - Environmental mitigation to meet license or regulatory limits
 - Technologies are available to meet most stringent metrics
- Most needed: Benchmarking to bring industry performance up to best practice (without adding technologies) as most technologies installed already allow for best performance to be achieved if operated diligently and reliably



Order of priority to invest

5th Step: Energy saving technologies implementation

4th Step: Process Yield improvement

3rd Step: Process Reliability and Maintenance

2nd Step: Raw materials quality improvement

1st **Step:** Effective management & operating decisions



Energy Use in the Iron & Steel Industry Project



Factoral analysis of the overall energy efficiency

- The efficiency of steelworks depends on various factors
 - Mere introduction of energy-efficient technologies does not make a difference – It is important to consider the effect of several factors to identify the extent of efficiency improvement
 - Evaluation of technologies needs to the done using commonly agreed methodology





Technology survey & analysis

- Analysis of impact on energy intensity for technologies by process and site in GJ/t
- Covers more than 190 energy efficiency techniques and technologies and analyses the impact of these on the total energy intensity of plant as well as impact on the site when rolled up
- Each technology implemented must reduce energy intensity of the steel production processes or increase the productivity or quality of the products
- Site roll up check performed for compatibility between technologies



Technology survey & analysis

- Project members analysed the implemented technologies and determined:
 - The reason(s) for implementing these technologies
 - What process or its component is deviating from best practice
 - If the installed technologies meet best practice performance levels



List of techniques and technologies

- Based on the IISI report from 1998
 - 100 Energy Efficiency Techniques & Technologies were identified
- On the base of other reports
 - 90 Energy Efficiency Techniques and & Technologies were identified

Basic questions in the survey:

- Are these techniques and technologies used in your plant?
- The main drivers / reasons for implementation
- Years of experience with these technologies
- Did the technologies deliver the expected performance or improvement? (improvement levels used in the reference plants)
- Do you have plans to implement this energy reducing technology?



Energy Saving Technologies – status of implementation

Facility type	Reported energy saving technologies	Implemented
Coking plants	20	30%
Sinter plants	15	27%
BF plants	20	40%
BOF plants	23	30%
EAF plants	28	14%



Site Energy Intensity reported by project members versus Number of Energy Efficiency Technologies used





Site Energy Intensity reported by project members versus number of energy efficiency technologies used



worldstee

Energy use Project Reporting

Distribution

- 17 April, 2014
- To 21 companies only those who contributed
- Appendices circulated via CD
- Two versions
 - Confidential version
 - Public version with methodology and process information and global results included





Future prospects

- Promote further participation by presenting to technical / industry forums & workshops
- Keep improving energy model and data collection system through the worldsteel Energy Expert group
- CO2, Maintenance & Reliability, Safety data collection systems
- Link CO2 / Energy into one system report / analysis
- Add specific environmental mitigation technologies to the energy intensity system (evaluate energy impact versus savings in emissions)



Collaborative Programmes in the iron & steel industry



Regional collaborations - examples

2005 -

- Japan–China Steel Industry Advanced Technology Exchange Meetings
 - Environmental Preservation and Energy-saving industry exchange

2006 -

- APP (Asia-Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate) -The Steel Task Force (7 countries)
 - Information exchange in energysaving/environmental technology
 - state-of-the-art clean technology handbook (SOACT handbook)

2011 -

Global Superior Energy
Performance Partnership (GSEP) –
Steel section Working Group (WG)



Other Collaborative Global programmes of worldsteel

Climate Action Programme

- Steel industry's global steel sectoral approach to climate change – 48 members as of 2013-2014
- Participation open to all steel-producing sites or companies, worldsteel members and non-members
- CO2 emissions data collection programme
 - Strictly confidential and secure system for data entry
 - Common methodology, definitions and agreed boundaries
 - Individual steel plants to compare themselves against both average and best performance and identify its scope for improvement
 - Methodology lead to development of ISO 14404-1:2013





CO2 Breakthrough Technology

- Coordination of the six key programmes for development work
 - ULCOS in Europe (5 projects)
 - Course50 in Japan (one large project with multi-facets of sub-projects)
 - POSCO programme in South Korea (minimise, and use)
 - Australian programme (Biomass and heat recovery from slag)
 - AISI programme in the US (paired furnaces, molten hydrogen flash melting)
 - CSC programme in Taiwan (minimise and use)
- Best expectation from any of these programmes is a 20 25% reduction in CO₂ emissions from the process
- Carbon Capture and Storage or Utilisation is required to reduce to + 80% from existing intensity emission levels.



Life Cycle Thinking

Life cycle assessment (LCA) takes into account all of the emissions created during the life of a product from raw material production through to end-of-life recycling or disposal





WorldAutoSteel

- WorldAutoSteel is committed to a low carbon future, through continuous research, manufacturing processes, and advancement of lightweight automotive steel products
- Legislation currently focuses on 'tailpipe' or use-phase emissions. A more thorough way of measuring automotive CO2 emissions is by using life cycle assessment (LCA), which takes into account all of the emissions created during production, use and end-of-life recycling or disposal
 - As the use-phase CO₂ decreases in future, the embodied impacts of the vehicles themselves will become more of a focus for further decarbonisation



Concluding remarks

- Removal of obstacles to the introduction of energy-saving technologies:
 - Cross-sectoral collaboration would be an effective measure
 - Sharing the list of technologies
 - Dissemination of technologies via exchange of experts
 - A global database and common methodology to calculate the overall energy efficiency of steelworks (*worldsteel initiative*)
- Support for such activities through public—private partnerships



Thank you for your attention, Questions????.

For further information contact:

Henk Reimink Director – Safety, Technology and Environment World Steel Association reimink@worldsteel.org | T: +32 (0)2 702 8939 | Mob: +32 471 803 413 worldsteel.org

Dr Rizwan Janjua Manager, Technology & Environment World Steel Association janjua@worldsteel.org | T: +44 114 209 41 23 | Mob: +44 7944 5274 92 worldsteel.org



A S S O C I A T I O N

worldsteel.org