UCL Energy Institute



UCL CENTRE FOR BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGIES



P2P energy trading using blockchain distributed ledgers

David Shipworth

d.shipworth@ucl.ac.uk

Professor of Energy and the Built Environment: UCL Energy Institute

Chair: IEA Demand Side Management TCP





Distributed ledgers in the energy transition

- Decarbonisation causes distribution and intermittency leading to:
 - A 'supply-led' energy system with bi-directional flows at the grid edge requiring local demand-supply matching of large numbers of small energy flows.
- Digitialisation enables:
 - Control of distributed, intermittent supply and demand assets at the grid edge
 - Integration of information from energy data across multiple vectors and with nonenergy data
 - Markets for value aggregation for energy and non-energy systems
- Markets require:
 - Regulation creating value aligned with social goods
 - Transaction cost minimisation minimising trade friction
- Distributed ledgers enable:
 - Economic value by transaction costs minimisation through automation and disintermediation
 - Social value by alignment with collaborative economy models and 'localism' agenda.
 - Democratisation by (potentially) vesting power in local actors and cooperatives
 - Differentiation and valuation of monetary and non-monetary social values



UCL CENTRE FOR BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGIES



Distributed ledgers: Characteristics

Trust

Shift from trust in actors to trust in system. Allows:

- Trading between unknown
 parties
- Trading between parties of unequal knowledge/power
- Transparency

Resilience

Because:

- Distributed control
- Avoids central point of failure

Immutability

Allows:

- Guarantees of origin
- Evidence and authentication

Digital scarcity

Allows:

- Trading in a zero-sum pooled resource systems like money and energy
- Creating value for nonmonetized social goods



Global shift in investment type and location of energy DLTs

- Start 2017
 - America leading: ~20 companies worth ~\$100M
 - Europe second: ~15 companies worth ~\$20M
 - ICO financing peaked in Q4 2017
- Mid 2018
 - Europe leading: ~75 companies worth ~\$750M
 - East Asia second: ~25 companies work ~\$250M
 - America third: ~40 companies worth ~\$150M
 - Shift from ICO to VC financing throughout 2018.



Ref: World Energy Council (2018) 'World Energy Insights Brief: Blockchain: Evolution or Revolution? Figure B: Different types of use cases

- Flexible Trading Platforms
- E-Mobility
- Bitcoin Mining



Traceability

- Emission Trading Systems
- Project Financing

Blockchain in the Energy Sector - Future Outlook



UCL CENTRE FOR BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGIES



Peer-to-peer in a picture





Electron <<u>electron.org.uk</u>>

- Currently, bilateral trading in the DSR market precludes value aggregation across multiple beneficiaries.
- Electron are looking to release value through collaborative trading of DSR as a non-rival good.
- They disaggregate the components of DSR into its nonrival elements, and allow companies to price them individually.
- They then use blockchain to record all the trading commitments from the industry and enforce the trading protocols of the platform.

- This then:
 - creates fair and transparent DSR value allocation;
 - facilitates trades that wouldn't otherwise happen;
 - Encourages greater liquidity and participation in DSR;
 - generates significant cost savings;
 - leads to better investment decisions; and
 - lowers carbon emissions across the energy industry.



UCL CENTRE FOR BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGIES



Regulatory challenges





Draft EU Renewable Energy Directive (2016/0382(COD))

- Establishment of Rights:
 - Right to self-consumption and sell energy 'including through...peerto-peer trading arrangements', without additional charges (Article 21)
 - This extends to energy communities (Article 22)
 - Proportionate costs charged to renewable self-consumers for grid management (Article 21)
 - Consumers can jointly engage in self-consumption and form one entity (Article 21)
- Current Status:
 - Agreement reached on 14 June. Awaiting formal approval by European Parliament and Council.





Council of European Energy Regulators Regulatory Principles for P2P and CSC

Key Principles:

- Incorporate self-generation into network planning.
- Consumers as prosumers may entail additional responsibilities.
- Tariffs should be cost-reflective.
- Avoid perverse incentives. Consumers who rely exclusively on the network should not be unduly disadvantaged compared to prosumers.
- No cross-subsidisation.
- Access flexibility mechanisms on a level playing field.
- Adequate metering for prosumers.
- Avoid net metering of self-generation as it implies that system storage capacity is available for free.
 - Ref: CEER Position Paper on Renewable Self-Generation (C16-SDE-55-03)







EDF Energy



CommUNITY – Regulatory Issues

Some regulatory issues related to the CommUNITY trial include:

- Informed choice principle: How to compute the estimated annual cost? How to compute the relevant alternative cheapest tariff?
- Tariffs: single tariff supply contract including CommUNITY rebate or separate contracts?

Other issues related to different delivery options may include:



Legal Challenges

• Data privacy and GDPR

- Encryption & hashing are pseudonymisation not anonymisation techniques.
- Right to be forgotten (Art.17), or for data to be corrected (Art.16) clash with blockchain's immutability.
- Obligations on controllers and processors of data who are these in a blockchain?

Smart contracts

- A smart contract can be considered a 'contract' under UK law
- Smart contracts are immutable and irreversible, therefore cannot reflect changing circumstances (required in contract law).

Prosumer rights

- Domestic energy consumers producing their own energy ('prosumers') are not recognised in UK consumer law.
- Legal protection for P2P participants needed
 - Co-ops and LLPs can address some, but not all of these issues.

Schneiders, A. & Shipworth, D. (2018) 'Energy Cooperatives: A Missing Piece of the Peer-to-Peer Energy Regulation Puzzle?', BIEE Oxford 2018 Research Conference



Key messages

- The policy outcome dictates the regulatory change which determines the business model which drives behaviour.
 - Locational charging = local balancing. Flat charging + REGOs = DER uptake
- P2P outcomes depend on how we socialize the cost of network infrastructure.
 - User pays <-> nationalised public asset paid from general taxation
- Permitting multiple suppliers per consumer

 Peer-to-peer + Peer-to-local market + Peer-to-platform + Backstop supplier
- Commission phase I (PoC); II (uptake) & III (cost-benefit) trials
- Legal challenges exist beyond energy (e.g. GDPR & consumer law)
- Tailor trials to target audience (Govt; social role models; etc)
- UX is key. Must be co-designed & customer led (keep engineers out!)

"...without addressing the two obstacles of customer engagement and regulatory reform, a full transformative disruption may not be feasible; however, energy blockchain will continue to optimise the practices of today's energy eco-system."

World Energy Council (2018) 'World Energy Insights Brief: Blockchain: Evolution or Revolution?

