



Cross Border Capacity Trading... Looking Back and Looking Forward

Craig Glazer
Vice President - Federal Government Policy
PJM Interconnection

IEA Expert Workshop V Regional Resource Adequacy January 15, 2015 Paris, France





- PJM Overview
- U.S. Capacity Market Patchwork
- Cross Border Capacity Trading
- Future Issues: Policy/Planning/Market Design




PJM Overview: PJM Structure and Capacity Market Design Fundamentals





PJM as Part of the Eastern Interconnection



KEY STATISTICS	
PJM member companies	925+
millions of people served	61
peak load in megawatts	165,492
MWs of generating capacity	183,604
miles of transmission lines	62,556
2013 GWh of annual energy	791,089
generation sources	1,376
square miles of territory	243,417
area served 13 states + DC	
externally facing tie lines	191

21% of U.S. GDP produced in PJM

As of 9/1/2014


www.pjm.com 4 PJM©2014



- Operate the bulk electric power grid for reliability
- Facilitate various electric markets
- Plan for transmission expansion
- Monitor the markets to ensure competitiveness
- Provide exceptional customer/member service
- Share best practices with neighboring and international system operators




PJM Backbone Transmission





Nine Major North American RTOs / ISOs



www.pjm.com 7 PJM©2014



The U.S. Capacity Market Patchwork

- Forward Capacity Markets –
 PJM/New England
- Annual Capacity Market –
 New York
- State-Authorized Capacity
 Procurement Midcontinent ISO
- Energy-Only Markets—Texas, California






PJM Capacity Market Overview





PJM Maintains Reliability through Markets





Highlights of RPM Auction Design

Forward
Commitment: PJM
invites resourcespecific sell offers for
planning each year,
three years in
advance.

Open to All Resources: Products that may be offered include: existing and planned generation; planned transmission upgrades; and existing and planned demand resources



Highlights of RPM Auction Design (Cont.)

Single Clearing Price: New generation, new demand response alternatives, and new transmission solutions can compete directly with existing resources

Imports: Imports recognized as capacity resources subject to reliability-based import limitations



Impacts of the Capacity Market Patchwork – PJM Solutions to Ensure Reliability





Impact #1: Capacity Chases Price

- PJM moves from net exporter of capacity in 2007 (2,616 MW) to net capacity importer year after market introduced (2,612 MW)
- Between 2012 and 2013 auctions capacity imports jump 81% (8,412 MW for 2016 vs. 4,650 MW for 2015)
- Neighboring regions fall short of capacity



Impact #2: Although firm transmission service required, analysis did not take into account risk of curtailment on intermediate systems





Impact #3:

Internal reliability impacted due to impact of curtailments beyond importer's control





Impact #4:

Over-delivery of potential non-deliverable resources leads to price suppression as importing capacity chases price.





PJM Initiated Solution

Establishment of a Capacity Import Limit

Goals

- Enable the market to price deliverability constraints in its choice of resources
- Recognize realistic limits on dependence on neighboring systems





Features of the PJM Capacity Import Limit

- Timing Change: Curtailment risk factored into initial capacity procurements
- Modeling: Model total transmission capability at the borders under PJM Capacity Emergency Conditions
- Individual Interface Review:
 Recognize separate limits at each border





Features of the PJM Capacity Import Limit (cont'd)

 Recognize Existing Mutual Support: Import limit established recognizing that mutual support already used to reduce installed reserve margin

Total
Transmission
Capability

Emergency AssistanceAvailable (already counted for in IRM)

Capacity Import Limit

 Recognize Exceptions: Exceptions for dynamically scheduled units (units not subject to TLR-V curtailment)



Features of the PJM Capacity Import Limit (cont'd)

- Make Realistic But Not Overly Conservative Assumptions: Use non-coincident peaks for each interface as not all borders experiencing simultaneous emergencies
 - Assume a level of coordinated support vs. all systems simultaneously in emergency
- Ensure Consistent Rules for Internal and External Resources: Must Offer Requirement



Lessons Learned



www.pjm.com PJM©2014



Observations and Lessons Learned

- Selective introduction of capacity markets reshuffles resource mix
- Capacity imports are important resources to incorporate into a capacity market
- Potential for curtailment by neighboring systems must be considered





Observations and Lessons Learned (cont'd)

- Modeling of a capacity import limit should reflect availability of mutual support and differences across interfaces
- Ensure consistency of rules so as to avoid market distortions from favoring/disfavoring imported resources



Cross-Border Trading: Future Regulatory Challenges and Opportunities



www.pjm.com PJM©2014



Regulatory Challenges re: Cross-Border Trading

Capacity Portability

- Unit Specific Commitments vs. "Slice of System" Capacity Obligation
- Pricing of Capacity Obligations:
 Administrative, Cost-Based, Bid-Based?
- Binding call rights on transmission and fixed rules on curtailment priorities
- Capacity Planning: Honoring each nation's policies vs. allowing for portability of capacity resources across borders





An Added Complication:



Who Decides?







States

- State Energy Policies:
 Governors/legislators
- State PUCs

FERC

- FERC Review of Planning
 - Order 890: Regulating Process or Results?

Environmental Agencies

- Non-attainment areas
- RGGI et al.









Avoiding The Quagmire Of Inaction





LET'S TALK...



Craig Glazer
Vice President-Federal Government Policy
PJM Interconnection
Washington, D.C., USA
1-202-423-4743
craig.glazer@pjm.com